Embeddings (Feature Learning) 28.5.2020

Motivation

• Previously

- Token-based models (eg. n-grams)
- Discrete (small) vocabulary (eg. [a-z0-9], ...)
- More complex models used feature vector ($x \in \mathbb{R}^n$)
- $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is straight-forward for real-valued data (audio, video, ...)
 - What about discrete (and large!) vocabularies?
 - Eg. Natural language (= words)?

One-Hot Encoding

- Given fixed vocabulary $V = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\}$
- Set $x \in \mathbb{R}^{|V|}$ with $x_i = 1$ and $x_{j \neq i} = 0$ for word w_i
- aka word vector
- Drawbacks
 - Curse of dimensionality
 - Euclidean distance between points not necessarily semantic
 - Isolated words \rightarrow loss of context

Curse of Dimensionality [1]

[1] Bellman, R. E. Adaptive Control Processes: A Guided Tour, Ch. 5.16 (Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1961)



[2] Altman, N., Krzywinski, M. The curse(s) of dimensionality. *Nat Methods* **15**, 399–400 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-018-0019-x

Wanted: A mapping that...

- Can handle a large vocabulary
- Has a rather small output dimension
- Ideally...
 - Produces output values where (Euclidean) distances correlate with semantic distances
 - Incorporates the context of each token

Latent Semantic Indexing (1990)

• Key idea:

Terms that occur in the same document should relate to each other

- Construct a term-occurrence matrix
- Find principal components using singular value decomposition
- Apply rank-reduction (ie. discard dimensions relating to smaller singular values)
- Use resulting matrix to map term vectors to lower-dim space
 - Works reasonably well for spam/ham, etc.
 - Context modelling limited to plain co-occurrence

Scott Deerwester, Susan Dumais, George Furnas, Thomas Landauer, Richard Harshman: <u>Indexing by Latent</u> <u>Semantic Analysis.</u> In: Journal of the American society for information science. 1990.



Bengio, Y., Ducharme, R., Vincent, P., & Janvin, C. (2003). <u>A Neural Probabilistic Language Model</u>. The Journal of Machine Learning Research, 3, 1137–1155.

Word2Vec (2013)

- Avoid costly hidden layer
- Allow for more context
- Continuous Bag-of-Words (CBOW) uses context to predict center word
- Skip-gram predicts context w(t+1) from center word



Mikolov, T., Corrado, G., Chen, K., & Dean, J. (2013). <u>Efficient Estimation of Word Representations in Vector Space</u>. Proceedings of the International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR 2013), 1–12.

GloVe (2014)

 Based on word-word co-occurrence

• Minimize



0.5

0.4

0.3

0.2

0.1

niece

nephew

sister

aunt

heiress

madam

¹heir

countess

0.5

• duchess-

empress

Pennington, J., Socher, R., & Manning, C. D. (2014). <u>Glove: Global Vectors for Word Representation</u>. Proceedings of the 2014 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, 1532–1543.

FastText

- Previous word-based models struggle with OOV
 What to do, if an observed word is not in the vocabulary?
- Alternative:
 - Train on character n-grams instead
 - Use skip-gram approach
- Can handle OOV by averaging over known n-grams

Piotr Bojanowski, Edouard Grave, Armand Joulin and Tomas Mikolov. <u>Enriching Word Vectors with Subword</u> <u>Information.</u> 2016



Transfer Learning vs. Deep Learning

- Word2Vec, FastText, etc. can be trained on large amounts of unlabeled data
 - Ready-to-go models avaliable to map Words to feature vectors
 - Statistics can be updated using more (in-domain) data
- Most approaches can be modeled as computational graph
 → integrate models into training routines (with backprop)
- Most basic form: (single) embedding layer to map one-hot to smaller dimension (eg. Sparse layer in pytorch: https://pytorch.org/docs/stable/nn.html#embedding)